Male–female differences in forearm skin tissue dielectric constant
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Summary
Tissue dielectric constant (TDC) measurements at 300 MHz via the coaxial line reflection method are useful to evaluate local skin tissue water and its change, but virtually all available data relate to measurements on women. Because TDC values in part depend on skin thickness, we hypothesized that differences in male–female skin may be associated with male–female differences in TDC. To test this hypothesis, we compared TDC values in volar forearm skin of 60 young adult volunteers (30 men, 25±0 ± 2.5 years, 30 women, 27±4 ± 6.6 years) in the seated position using a probe with an effective measurement depth of 1.5 mm. Results showed that TDC values (mean ± SD) for men were significantly greater than for women (33 ± 2 ± 4 versus 29±4 ± 2.7, P<0.001) constituting an overall difference of about 13%. This finding suggests that when TDC measurements are used in research or clinical studies in which both men and women are included in a common study population, it would be prudent to consider this difference in both experimental design and data interpretation. This is especially true if absolute TDC values are of interest in contrast to changes in TDC values on the same subject subsequent to time passage or secondary to an intervention. Despite greater TDC values measured in men, calculations of the impact of a greater male skin thickness indicate that the greater TDC values of men may or may not reflect a greater relative local skin tissue water in men compared to women.

Introduction
Tissue dielectric constant (TDC) measured at a frequency of 300 MHz via the coaxial line reflection method (Stuchly et al., 1981, 1982; Aimoto & Matsumoto, 1996; Alanen et al., 1998a,b) has been used to evaluate local tissue water (LTW) and its change with skin irritation (Miettinen et al., 2006), skin irradiation (Nuutinen et al., 1998), haemodialysis (Nuutinen et al., 2004), postcardiac surgery changes (Petaja et al., 2003), weight loss (Laaksonen et al., 2003), menstrual cycle (Mayrovitz et al., 2007) and lymphoedema (Mayrovitz et al., 2008a,b; Mayrovitz et al., 2009a,b). Although measurements have been taken in various body sites including breast (Nuutinen et al., 1998), leg (Petaja et al., 2003; Mayrovitz, 2009a,b, 2010), thorax and upper arm (Mayrovitz et al., 2008a,b), most studies have targeted the female forearm (Mayrovitz, 2007, 2009a,b, 2010), mainly for its importance in matters related to arm lymphoedema. As a consequence, there is virtually no data available that systematically describes TDC values for men, which by virtue of a possible greater skin thickness in men (Branchet et al., 1990; Gniadecka & Quistorff, 1996; Gniadecka & Jemec, 1998; Chen et al., 2001; Diridollou et al., 2001; Lee & Hwang, 2002; Laurent et al., 2007) may also be associated with male–female differences in skin tissue water as assessed by TDC. Thus, the goal of this research was to test this hypothesis using TDC measurements in normal forearm skin of men and women.

Methods
Subjects
Sixty young adult volunteer subjects participated in this study (30 men and 30 women) that were evaluated after signing a University Institutional Review Board approved informed consent. Requirements for participation were that subjects be at least 21 years of age and have self-reported normal upper extremity function with no history of serious trauma and no self-reported or visual evidence of any abnormal arm skin condition at the time of evaluation. As summarized in Table 1, height, weight, body mass index (BMI) and forearm girth were
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and held in position using gentle pressure. After about 10 s, a
measurement was obtained by placing the probe in contact with the skin
at a reference centre point for probe placement. A single measure-
ment depths (2±5 mm was used. This probe has an outside diameter
of 1.5 mm and the effective measurement depth depends on the probe dimensions, with larger spacing between inner and outer conductors corresponding to greater penetration depths. In this study, a probe with an effective measurement depth of 1.5 mm was used. This probe has an outside diameter of 20 mm with 3-mm spacing between the inner and outer concentric conductors.

**Tissue dielectric constant measurement procedure**

All measurements were taken with subjects seated and started after a 10-min acclimation rest interval. TDC measurements were taken on the non-dominant volar forearm at a standardized site along the forearm midline located 8 cm distal to the antecubital crease. This site was marked with dot to serve as a reference centre point for probe placement. A single measure-
ment was obtained by placing the probe in contact with the skin and held in position using gentle pressure. After about 10 s, an audible signal indicated completion of the measurement. Second and then third measurements were made at the same site with 60 s between the start of each measurement yielding triplicate sequential measurements at each site. After TDC measurements, arm girth (circumference) at the measurement site was determined using a Gulick-type tape measure (Allegro Medical Supplies, Mesa, AZ, USA).

**Analysis**

Differences in TDC values between male and female arms were tested using a general linear model (GLM) for repeated measures with the three sequential TDC values as the repeated measure and gender as the between groups factor. A $P$-value <0.05 was set as the threshold level for a significant statistical difference between groups. Subsequently, to test for possible impacts of BMI and arm girth, each was included in the GLM analysis as a covariate.

**Results**

Results of the GLM analysis showed that TDC values measured on volar forearm skin of men were significantly greater than for women (Table 2) being about 13% greater in men than in women (33±2 ±4.0 versus 29.4 ±2.7, $P<0.001$). Follow-up analyses that used BMI or arm girth as covariates in the GLM analysis did not change this primary result with male TDC values remaining significantly greater than female values with significance levels of $P<0.001$ with BMI as a covariate and $P<0.01$ with arm girth as a covariate. An ancillary finding relates to the uniformity of sequentially measured triplicate values at the same skin site. Analysis of the coefficient of variation (CV) indicates good measurement repeatability with an overall CV across all subject of 1.5 ±1.0% with an average difference between any single measurement and the triplicate average being <1%.

**Discussion**

An easily used non-invasive method to assess LTW within skin and subcutis offers a useful research tool to investigate physiologically and clinically related conditions in which changes in tissue water are of interest. The TDC method has shown such potential in a several applications (Nuutinen et al., 1998; Laaksonen et al., 2003; Petaja et al., 2003; Miettinen et al., 2006; Mayrovitz, 2007, 2009a,b; Mayrovitz et al., 2007, 2008a,b; Mayrovitz et al., 2009a,b), but for the most part data has only systematically been obtained on women. Recently (Mayrovitz et al., 2008a,b, 2009a,b), it was shown that TDC values as measured on the forearm of women depend on the depth to which the excitation field penetrates with lower values obtained at deeper penetration. Additional investigations (Mayrovitz, 2009a,b, 2010) indicated that for deeper measurement depths (2.5 and 5.0 mm), TDC values were less for

Table 1 Subjects.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Men ($N=30$)</th>
<th>Women ($N=30$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>25.0±2.5</td>
<td>27.4±6.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (m)</td>
<td>1.75±0.10*</td>
<td>1.65±0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (Kg)</td>
<td>79.6±18.5*</td>
<td>61.9±10.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (Kg m⁻²)</td>
<td>25.0±7.7*</td>
<td>22.8±3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Girth (cm)</td>
<td>25.5±2.6*</td>
<td>20.9±2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right hand dominant</td>
<td>27/30 (90%)</td>
<td>27/30 (90%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BMI, body mass index.

Entries are mean ± SD. Girth is forearm circumference at site of tissue dielectric constant measurement.

*Significantly greater for men than women ($P<0.01$).

Significantly greater for men than for women ($P<0.01$). Male versus female group ages were insignificantly different (25.0±2.5 versus 27.4±6.6 years).
subjects with a greater BMI. Contrarily, at more shallow measurement depths (0.5 and 1.5 mm), there was no dependence on BMI, but for these measurement depths TDC values were found to increase with increasing subject age. Because skin thickness (epidermis + dermis) of the forearm is in the range of 0.8–1.2 mm (Branchet et al., 1990; Gniadecka & Jemec, 1998; Chen et al., 2001; Diridollou et al., 2001; Lee & Hwang, 2002) with men having greater thickness than women (Lee & Hwang, 2002), this study was undertaken to investigate whether there were associated male–female differences in skin TDC. The use of male and female subjects of similar age helped minimize possible age-dependent factors. The non-dominant forearm was chosen for study, because it was felt that its features would be more uniform among subjects than the dominant arm as to possible confounding effects attributable to variability in muscle development and within gender forearm girth.

**Methods considerations**

With the current method, a probe in contact with the skin measures a tissue dielectric constant that depends on the electrical properties of all tissues within the effective measurement depth that has been defined as the depth at which the induced electric field falls to 1/e of its surface value (Nuutinen et al., 2004). For the probe used in this study, this effective measuring depth is about 1.5 mm and includes skin (epidermis + dermis) and some subcutaneous fat. The thinner the skin the more is the relative amount of subcutaneous fat that would be included in the measurement. Further, the relative water content of these components is not uniform. Stratum corneum and fat have relatively low water content in comparison with the dermis, but even within the epidermis and dermis, water distribution is not uniform. Within the epidermis, the gradual transition from below the corneum to basal cell layers is accompanied by a water content that increases from about 20% to about 70% (Warner et al., 1988). Within the dermis, superficial papillary and deep reticular regions also differ in their water content (Richard et al., 1991, 1993) with an average dermal water fraction of about 70% in contrast to about 10% in subcutaneous fat (Foster & Schwan, 1989). Thus, TDC values obtained with the present method reflect to varying degrees differing water contents within the measurement volume.

**Skin thickness considerations**

The extent to which gender-related skin thickness differences could have affected the TDC values in this study can be estimated using the formula (Lahtinen et al., 1997; Alanen et al., 1998a,b) derived for a two-layer model composed of an upper skin layer and lower fat layer. Accordingly, the TDC value was shown to be expressible in terms of skin and fat dielectric constants (εs and εf), skin (epidermis and dermis) depth δ and probe-specific calibration factors q as TDC = (εs−εf) (1−e−δq) + εf. Although the main focus of this study was on the totally measured TDC value as reflective of all measured tissue components, the aforementioned relationship can be used to estimate the extent to which gender differences in estimated skin thickness may affect the measured TDC value by considering representative parameter values reported in the literature. Forearm skin values for δ are reported as 1.17 mm for men and 0.87 mm for women (Lee & Hwang, 2002). Representative dielectric constant values for forearm skin (εs) and fat (εf) are reported as about 50 and 6, respectively (Gabriel et al., 1996a,b). If it is assumed that for the same water content εs and εf are equal for men and women, then the effect of differences in δ can be estimated using the aforementioned representative values together with the probe-specific calibration factor (q = 0.82) provided by the device’s manufacturer. Using this approach, the calculated TDC values for male and female groups are respectively 33.7 and 29.0. These calculated values are sufficiently close to the male–female values herein measured (33.2 and 29.4) to indicate that the measured male–female TDC

**Table 2 TDC Comparisons.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>TDC1</th>
<th>TDC2</th>
<th>TDC3</th>
<th>TDC_avg</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Men (N = 30)</td>
<td>33.0 ± 3.9*</td>
<td>33.3 ± 3.9*</td>
<td>33.3 ± 4.2*</td>
<td>33.2 ± 4.0*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women (N = 30)</td>
<td>29.4 ± 2.6</td>
<td>29.5 ± 2.7</td>
<td>29.2 ± 2.7</td>
<td>29.4 ± 2.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TDC, tissue dielectric constant.

Entries are Mean ± SD for 1st, 2nd and 3rd sequential TDC measurements and their average. TDC1, TDC2, and TDC3 were insignificantly different from each other or from the average (TDC_avg) of the triplicate measurements.

*All differences between men and women were highly significant (P<0.001).
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differences could as easily be explained on the basis of male–female differences in skin thickness as with male–female differences in tissue water content. To distinguish between these possibilities would require comeasured values of TDC and skin thickness, which was not part of the present protocol.

Because the present findings are based on TDC values obtained to an approximate measurement depth of 1.5 mm, it is unknown whether similar male–female differences would be obtained for more shallow or for deeper measurement depths. If the male–female difference herein measured is due mainly or exclusively to the smaller skin thickness of women, then the prediction is that such differences would be much reduced or eliminated for measurement depths that only include the epidermis and dermis. Contrastingly, if the measured differences are mainly because of differences in skin tissue water, then for the same shallow measurements, the prediction is that the male–female differences would remain greater although perhaps not of the same magnitude as herein measured. Future additional investigative efforts to further study, this aspect would appear warranted.
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